Operation Blueberry: After-Action Briefing

Executive Summary

Operation Blueberry was a multi-regiment military action undertaken by Task Group 7 with the primary objective of capturing a hostile battleship near Colonial Station 116AL. The operation was only partially successful: a smaller, cruiser-class hostile vessel was captured, but the main enemy battleship escaped, and the colonial station was found to be destroyed with the loss of 11,000 lives.

The boarding action itself was a tactical success, accomplished with low friendly casualties, validating the efficacy of current Earth Marine Corps (EMC) Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) against the enemy's present capabilities. However, the after-action reports reveal critical deficiencies that demand immediate attention. Foremost among these were a significant breakdown in command and control during the final assault, resulting in an officer-involved dispute and an avoidable friendly casualty. Furthermore, a critical technical failure in inter-regimental Identification Friend or Foe (IFF) systems highlighted a dangerous gap in joint-operation readiness.

Intelligence gathered from the operation confirms that the enemy, designated "Berserkers," is rapidly evolving. They have moved beyond simple massed robot attacks, now employing deception, ambush, and a variety of new, more durable and heavily armed robot types. Major M. M. Patel, the Senior Boarding Group Commander, concluded, "We will have to improve, because the enemy is getting better. One more easy mission should not breed over confidence." The key takeaway is that while EMC tactics proved sufficient, future success against a rapidly adapting foe will require significant improvements in command cohesion, inter-unit technical integration, and tactical flexibility.

---

1. Operational Overview

1.1 Mission and Objectives

As outlined in OPORDER 130/2/3197, Operation Blueberry was authorized by Fleet Admiral Burwasher with the following mission:

- **Primary Mission:** To capture the hostile battleship near 116AL to restore peace and stability.
- **Secondary Objectives:** If capture was not possible, the priority was to capture hostile crew alive and recover hostile technology.
- **Commander's Intent:** To overwhelm the enemy with a simultaneous, multi-pronged attack by all available Marine forces from different angles and using varied tactical methods.

1.2 Forces Involved

- **Overall Command:** Fleet Admiral Burwasher, Task Group 7 (Flagship ESS Kiev).
- **Marine Command:** Lt. Col. T. A. Killgore, Commander 130th Regiment EMR.
- **Assault Force:**
    - **130th Regiment EMR:** Designated as the Main Effort.
        - Group Charlie (under Major M. Patel): Lead assault element.
        - Group Bravo (under Major P. Howland): Second-echelon support.
    - **95th Regiment EMR:** Diversionary and search-and-destroy elements.
- **Reserve Force:** Two groups of 77th Regiment EMR.

1.3 Chronology and Outcome

- **Stardate 3197.272:** Task Group 7 arrives in the 116AL system.
- **Stardate 3197.281:** The fleet engages a hostile vessel. The target is identified as a previously unencountered light cruiser, not the expected battleship. The hostile ship is crippled as planned.
- **Boarding Action:** Elements of the 130th and 95th Regiments board the disabled cruiser. A shuttle carrying a second element of the 95th EMR is disabled by enemy "Shadow" Pacifiers, aborting their planned diversion.
- **Conclusion:** The marine force successfully captures the hostile cruiser. During the engagement, the main enemy battleship makes a rapid escape from the system. Four human prisoners were discovered and released from a pressurized compartment aboard the captured vessel.

1.4 Reported Casualties and Losses

The operation resulted in casualties across marine and naval assets.

Category	Unit	Details
Marine Personnel	**130th Regiment**	3 Other Ranks (OR) Killed, 4 OR Wounded
	95th Regiment	4 OR Killed, 6 OR Wounded
	Total Marines	**7 Killed, 10 Wounded**
Naval Assets	**Fleet**	8 Pacifiers lost, ESS Enterprise damaged, ESS Jaguar damaged

	Transportation	1 Heavy Shuttle from ESS Enterprise damaged

---

2. Command and Control Analysis

The operation was marred by significant friction within the command structure, primarily centered on the actions of Major M. Patel, the commander of the main effort.

2.1 Control Room Assault Dispute

A severe command conflict occurred during the final assault on the hostile control room on Deck 3.

- **Situation:** An enemy robot guarded the entrance to the control room.
- **Major Patel's Order:** As the overall boarding commander, Major Patel imposed a "NOGREN" (no grenades) order and vetoed the use of Anti-Personnel Guided Weapons (APGW) against the robot.
- **Lt. Levell's Contention:** Lt. R. Levell, the on-the-spot commander, strongly objected, stating Major Patel "refused to accept the recommendation of the commander on the spot and thought that he knew better in his ivory tower at the back of the battlefield."
- **Result:** According to Lt. Levell, this countermanded request directly led to Marine Cushey being wounded by the robot's SCA fire. Lt. J. M. Kemp's report confirms that while his "colleague hesitated," his squad entered the control room and eliminated the robot.
- **Aftermath:** The dispute escalated post-operation, with Lt. Levell issuing a formal request: *"I further request that I do not serve under his command in the future as he clearly has no confidence in me and I certainly have no faith in his abilities, (sorry Mukul, nothing personal)."*

2.2 Major Patel's Self-Critique

Major Patel's own after-action review acknowledged significant personal errors in judgment and execution.

- **Failure to Follow ROE:** He confessed to making a mistake by not adhering to the ROE FREEFIRE directive concerning the "Petrol Pump" robots. He stated, *"When given any marine is given an order follow it to the best of your abilty. I didn't its that simple."*
- **Hesitancy and Misjudgment:** He attributed his errors to overestimating the enemy's capabilities. *"I kept thinking during the mission we up against something nearly dangerous as enemy marines, I overestimated thier overall abilities. This is one reason for my hesitancy and inabilty to push the boaerdinmg action through to a swift conclusion."*
- **Poor Coordination:** He cited "Poor co-ordination direction of squads" and poor liaison with Group Bravo as reasons why his spearhead attack bogged down on Deck 3.

2.3 Inter-Unit Coordination Failure

A critical technical failure compromised joint operations between the 130th and 95th Regiments.

- **IFF Incompatibility:** It was discovered during the operation that "the 95 EMR were not recognised as FRIENDLY by the 130 EMR IFF system, and vice versa."
- **Friendly Fire Incident:** This led to a claim by the 95th EMR that one of their Combat Robots was disabled by a grenade from the 130th EMR. This represents a fundamental breakdown in combined arms protocol.

---

3. Enemy Assessment: An Evolving Threat

Operation Blueberry provided crucial intelligence on the "Berserker" enemy, revealing a force that is actively adapting its technology and tactics.

3.1 Enemy Tactics

The enemy demonstrated a tactical evolution away from the previously observed massed "robot wave" attacks.

- **Static Defense-in-Depth:** The primary strategy was a static defense, with robot squads of 2 to 8 units positioned in most compartments. They did not employ reserves or launch significant counter-attacks.
- **Deception:** A key new tactic involved deception. "Petrol Pump" robots in the access bay employed a "WAIT AND SEE" approach, remaining inert until C Group had passed before attacking B Group. Other robots were camouflaged to look like ordinary machinery.
- **Emerging Capabilities:** While their attempts at ambush and counter-attack were described as "crude" and "clumsy," their existence signals an improvement in tactical conception that is expected to become more challenging.

3.2 Hostile Vessel ("Viking" Class)

The captured cruiser was analyzed post-operation.

- **Layout:** A compact, disc-like structure of 5 octagonal decks. Multiple access shafts connected the decks.
- **Defensive Weaknesses:** The vessel was not well-adapted for defense against boarders. Critically, there were no internal doors (except to an improvised prison), which should have favored rapid marine movement. This weakness allowed marines to "dominate one deck and advance to the next."

3.3 New Enemy Robot Types

A significant number of new or improvised robot types were encountered, all sharing the characteristic of being harder to destroy than previously faced models.

Designation	Description	Characteristics & Vulnerabilities
Petrol Pumps	Armored, stationary robots resembling petrol pumps.	SCA3-equipped. Located in access levels. Vulnerable to close-range SCA fire.
SENTRIES / TANKS	Improvised combat robots.	Frontal armor with SCA3-equivalent weaponry.
Button Heads	Squat, round, mobile, armored robots.	SCA3-equipped. Considered highly dangerous. Vulnerable to APGW fire.
Big Boys	Large, hefty "clanker" variants.	Double the durability of standard clankers. Require massed grenade attacks (2-3 is insufficient).
Shield Robots	Squat robots with large frontal armored shields.	The most numerous new type. SCA1-equipped. Unarmored on the sides and rear; vulnerable to flanking grenade attacks.
Odd Clankers	Standard clankers disguised as machinery.	Same vulnerabilities as standard clankers.

---

4. Tactical and Technical Lessons Learned

4.1 Tactical Execution Review

- **Friendly Tactics:** The 130th EMR successfully employed "Search and Destroy" tactics, with C Group pressing the advance and B Group clearing decks behind them. The use of grenade salvoes (3-4 at a time) was highly effective against "Clankers."
- **Execution Flaws:** The main assault by C Group bogged down due to casualties and Major Patel's admitted poor coordination. A critical logistical failure was the placement of Supercharges with the support element (B Group) rather than the lead element (C Group), which "precluded their timely rapid efficient use."

4.2 Rules of Engagement (ROE)

- **ROE FREEFIRE:** The operation was conducted under this ROE, which permits engagement of any target not positively identified as friendly. Lt. Col. Killgore was clear that under this rule, "the 'Petrol Pump' robots should have been immediately engaged and destroyed." The failure to do so represented a command error.
- **IFF Functionality Debate:** The incident sparked a discussion, initiated by Major Rutherford, on whether the IFF system provides a POSITIVE display (highlighting friendlies) or a NEGATIVE one (forbidding fire on friendlies). Clarification on this technical function is required for all personnel.

4.3 Technical Proposals and Readiness

- **"Robot-life" Detector:** A proposal by Lt. Coralanus to develop a detector for hostile machinery was dismissed by command as "unlikely to be effective" and liable to slow offensive operations.
- **IFF Override Grenade:** A technical requirement was issued to investigate modifying proximity grenades to perform an IFF check before detonation.
- **Class 6000 Space Yacht Proposal:** A suggestion by Major Patel to use a civilian vessel for boarding was rebuffed by Captain Rackham as a "flight of fancy," citing the ship's unavailability and lack of military-grade armor, communications, and maneuverability.
- **Force Readiness Issues:** The designated reserve force, the 77th EMR, reported being understrength, having had no shore leave in four months, and experiencing significant technical problems with their combat robots' new AI operating system.

---

5. Recommendations

The after-action reports from Operation Blueberry's commanders yield several critical recommendations for future operations against the "Berserker" threat.

1. **Standardize IFF Systems:** All IFF systems must be programmed for compatibility across all participating EMC and Earther Navy (EN) forces prior to any joint operation.
2. **Enhance Enemy-Specific Training:** All EMC units must be trained and exercised specifically against the evolving tactics and robot types employed by the "Berserker" enemy.
3. **Prioritize Intelligence Analysis:** Captured enemy technology, particularly new robot types and ship control systems, must be analyzed to predict and counter future improvements in enemy equipment and tactics.
4. **Refine Command Doctrine:** Trust in the judgment of on-the-spot commanders must be reinforced. In multi-group assaults, a clear doctrine should be established for the supporting group to assume the main effort should the lead group lose impetus.

5. **Protect Logistical Roles:** The Logistics Group should not be assigned tasks, such as diversionary attacks, that prevent it from performing its principal role of supporting the main combat force.
6. **Reinforce ROE Adherence:** All personnel must understand and adhere strictly to the established Rules of Engagement. Hesitation in a FREEFIRE environment can cede initiative and lead to casualties.